

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/986,445	11/08/2001	Young-Rae Park	SEC.848	5077	
75	90 12/18/2002				
VOLENTINE FRANCOS, PLLC SUITE 150			EXAMINER		
12200 SUNRIS	E VALLEY DRIVE		GEYER, S	GEYER, SCOTT B	
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				. /
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>-</i> -
	•	09/986,445	PARK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Scott B. Geyer	2829	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any rearner	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the new patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commissandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status	Despensive to communication(s) filed an	05 Fahruary 0000		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)☐	<i>,</i> —	This action is non-final.		- 11 - 1 -
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims			ierits is
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
	on Papers	···		
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	in reply to this Office action.		
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a 	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	·		olication)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has t	peen received.	modition).
A [ا(15] Attachment	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. 99 120 and/or 121.	
	(S) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interitani	Summany (DTO 442) Dance No/-)	
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No() 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 2829

(4

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention (method of fabricating a contact pad of a semiconductor device):
 - A. Planarizing method for inter-dielectric:
 - 1. Chemical mechanical polishing (CMP);
 - 2. Dry etching.
 - B. Planarizing method for conductive material:
 - 1. Chemical mechanical polishing (CMP);
 - 2. Dry etching.
 - C. Dielectric layer:
 - 1. Nitride layer;
 - 2. Al₂O₃.
 - D. Inter-dielectric layer:
 - HDP oxide layer;
 - 2. Spin-on-Glass (SOG);
 - 3. BPSG layer;
 - 4. PSG layer;
 - 5. un-doped silicate glass layer;
 - 6. PE-TEOS layer;

Page 2

Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

- 7. Flowable oxide layer;
- 8. photo-resist layer;
- 9. polymer layer.
- E. Anti-reflective layer:
 - 1. Organic anti-reflective layer material such as SiON;
 - 2. Amorphous carbon layer.
- F. Conductive material:
 - 1. Doped polysilicon;
 - 2. Titanium (Ti);
 - 3. Titanium Nitride (TiN);
 - 4. Tungsten (W).

If the CMP method is chosen in group A, the following must also be chosen:

- G. CMP slurry for inter-dielectric layer:
 - 1. Ceria base;
 - 2. Silica base;
 - 3. Abrasive particles of alumina and Mn₂O₃.

If the CMP method is chosen in group B, the following must also be chosen:

- H. CMP slurry for conductive material:
 - 1. Ceria base;
 - 2. Silica base;
 - 3. Abrasive particles of alumina and Mn₂O₃.

/

Art Unit: 2829

1A. An example of a proper species election would be as follows:

The method of fabricating a contact pad of a semiconductor device wherein dry etching is used to planarize the inter-dielectric layer, and wherein chemical mechanical polishing (CMP) is used to planarize the conductive material wherein the slurry is a ceria base, and wherein the dielectric layer is a nitride layer, the inter-dielectric layer is BPSG, the anti-reflective layer is an organic material such as SiON, and the conductive material is Tungsten (W). This election would be classified as: A2/B1/C1/D3/E1/F4/H1.

- 1
- **2.** Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- **4.** Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 2829

- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- **6.** A telephone call was not made to the applicant due to the complexity of the restriction requirement.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- **8.** Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott B. Geyer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5866. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays, between 10:00am - 6:30pm. The examiner may also be reached via e-mail: scott.geyer@uspto.gov

Art Unit: 2829

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

506

S.B.G. December 11, 2002 KAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800